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In many of the junior colleges in the University System of Georgia courses of study are offered which are designed for students desiring immediate employment, usually without obtaining a college degree. These courses of study are known as terminal programs and are usually oriented toward certain types of business occupations such as accounting, clerical work, and secretarial science. Some nursing programs are also considered terminal as are often programs of a general education nature which, although lasting for two years, give a broad introduction to many specific fields but do not allow for concentration in any one area.

Georgia Southwestern College is one unit of the University System of Georgia which offers such terminal programs. According to the 1965-66 catalog, two-year programs are offered in clerical and secretarial science, accounting, and electronic data processing, and a three-year program is offered in nursing.

Data collected by the Office of Testing and Guidance seem to indicate a lack of interest in the terminal programs at Georgia Southwestern on the part of male students. Females, on the other hand, appear to be fairly well attracted to terminal courses, possibly due to the influence of secretarial programs. Each year since 1958, there have been less than 5 entering freshmen males enrolled in terminal programs at Georgia Southwestern, while female enrollment averaged about 35 each year. Nursing appears to be the most popular program, followed by secretarial science. 1

## The Data

Data for female End-of-Freshman-Year (EOFY) terminals (designated as such by the college) for the years 1963 and 1964 were analyzed and compared with regular EOFY females for the same years at Georgia Southwestern College. Tables 1 and 2 give the means, standard deviations, and intercorrelations for Freshman Average Grade (FAG), College Board Scores (SAT V and SAT M), and high school average (HSA), for the regular EOFY females at Ceorgia Southwestern for those years. Tables 3 and 4 present similar data for the EOFY terminal females.

[^0]Table 1

Means, Standard Deviations, and Intercorrelations Among Various Predictors and FAG for Regular EOFY Females at Georgia Southwestern College, 1963

$$
N=30
$$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Variable | Mean | S.D. |  | SAT M | HSA |
| FAG | 2.6 | .7 | .56 | .52 |  |
| SAT V | 421.8 | 105.5 | x | 68 | .68 |
| SAT M | 417.4 | 67.5 |  | x | .45 |
| HSA | 31.4 | 6.4 |  |  | .40 |

Table 2

Means, Standard Deviations, and Intercorrelations Among Various Predictors and FAG for Regular EOFY Females at Georgia Southwestern College, 1964
$N=51$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Variable | Mean | S.D. |  |  | SAT M |
| FAG | 2.4 | .6 | .54 | .40 | .65 |
| SAT V | 399.5 | 75.5 | x | .58 | .37 |
| SAT M | 402.4 | 81.8 |  | x | .39 |
| HSA | 29.2 | 6.4 |  |  |  |

Table 3
Means, Standard Deviations, and Intercorrelations Among Various Predictors and FAG for Terminal EOFY Females at Georgia Southwestern College, 1963
$\mathrm{N}=32$

| Variable | Mean | S.D. |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2.3 | .6 | SAT V M | HSA |  |
| FAG | 276.8 | 76.0 | .66 | .53 | .53 |
| SAT V | 378.0 | 74.0 | $x$ | .66 | .36 |
| SAT M | 28.1 | 5.3 |  | $\mathbf{x}$ | .32 |
| HSA |  |  |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |

Table 4
Means, Standard Deviations, and Intercorrelations Among Various Predictors and FAG for Terminal EOFY Females at Georgia Southwestern College, 1964
$\mathrm{N}=37$

| Variable | Mean | S.D. | SAT V | SAT M | HSA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FAG | 2.4 | . 6 | . 44 | . 65 | . 66 |
| SAT V | 379.5 | 69.2 | x | . 58 | . 65 |
| SAT M | 388.8 | 67.6 |  | x | 58 |
| HSA | 28.3 | 6.8 |  |  | x |

Tables 5 and 6 show the preceding statistics for these two groups for the two years, 1963 and 1964 combined.

Table 5
Summary Statistics for Regular EOFY Females at Georgia Southwestern College, 1963 and 1964

$$
N=81
$$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Variable | Mean | S.D. |  | SAT V M | HSA |
|  | 2.5 | .6 | .56 | .44 | .67 |
| FAG | 407.8 | 87.8 | $x$ | .60 | .41. |
| SAT V | 407.9 | 76.7 |  | $x$ | .40 |
| SAT M | 30.0 | 6.4 |  |  | $\times$ |
| HSA |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6
Summary Statistics for Terminal EOFY Females at Georgia Southwestern College, 1963 and 1.964
$\mathrm{N}=69$

| Variable | Mean | S.D. | SAT V | SAT M | HSA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FAG | 2.4 | . 6 | . 54 | 60 | 61 |
| SAT V | 378.2 | 71.9 | X | 62 | 52 |
| SAT M | 383.8 | 70.4 |  | X | 46 |
| HSA | 28.2 | 6.1 |  |  | x |

Scrutiny of Tables 1 through 4 reveals that during the years 1963 and 1964 mean scores of F'AG, SAT V, SAT M, and HSA decreased for the regular EOFY female students while mean scores of these same variables for the EOFY terminal females slightly increased. These facts are sumarized in Table 7.

Table 7

| Year | SAT V | SAT M | HSA | FAG | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regular EOFY Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 421.8 | 417.4 | 31.4 | 2.63 | 30 |
| 1964 | 399.5 | 402.4 | 29.2 | 2.43 | . 51 |
| Terminal EOFY Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 376.8 | 378.0 | 28.1 | 2.31 | 32 |
| 1964 | 379.5 | 388.8 | 28.3 | 2.41 | 37 |

Correlations between predicted FAG (PFAG) and obtained FAG are quite good. for both groups. Again, the terminal group showed an increase in the correlation between PFAG and FAG while the PFAG-FAG correlation for the regular group declined slightly.

PFAG - FAG Correlations

Year
Regular EOFY Females
Terminal EOFY Females

```
1963 .73 .71
```

1964 .68 . 72

Analysis of covariance ${ }^{2}$ was used to compare the 1963-64 regular EOFY females with the 1963-64 terminal EOFY females. The analysis revealed no significant differences between the groups. Since another recent study for Georgia Southwestern indicated that males and females could be combinod, an analysis of covariance was tried on just the 1964 EOFY males, EOFY females, and EOFY terminal. females. Again, the results indicated no signifcant differences between the three groups. Thus, the same equation for predicting freshman average grades would be applicable for regular males, and for regular or terminal females at Georgia Southwestern. This equation is:

$$
\text { PFAG }=+.0013 \mathrm{~V}+.0015 \mathrm{M}+.0473 \mathrm{H}-.0891
$$

[^1]The standard error of estimate for this question is .46 and the multiple correlation is .67 . All three regression weights are significantly different from zero. Table 8 gives distributions and percentiles for PFAG from this equation.

When the regression equations for predicting FAG for the two separate 1964 female groups are analyzed, it is interesting to notice that for regular EOFY females the weight for $S A T M$ is not significantly different from zero while the weight for $S A T V$ for the terminal EOFY females is not significantly different from zero. The individual equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Regular: PFAG }=+.0027 \mathrm{~V}=.0000 \mathrm{M} \%+.0485 \mathrm{H}-.0540 \\
& \text { Terminal: PFAG }=-.0011 \mathrm{~V} *+.0039 \mathrm{M}+.0446 \mathrm{H}+.0426 \\
& \text { * Indicates non-significant predictor weight }(\mathrm{p}>.05)
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard errors of estimate are . 42 and . 43 and the multiple correlations are .73 and .75 , respectively.

Twenty-two terminal females either dropped out before completing a year or, did not take enough work during the year to be included in the EOFY group (1963. + 1964). Mean scores on SAT V, SAT M, and HSA for this group are much lower than those of the EOFY terminal group. The mean PFAG of the terminal drop-out group is 2.25 compared to 2.44 for the terminal EOFY group; and 2.44 for the regular drop-out group.

## Prediction on the Basis of an Integral Equation

Since for 1964 , the most recent year for which data are available, regular. males, regular females, and terminal females could be combined, we recommend the use of one equation for predicting freshman average grades. This equation is:

$$
\text { PFAG }=+.0013 \mathrm{SAT} \mathrm{~V}+.0015 \text { SAT } \mathrm{M}+.0473 \mathrm{HSA}-.0891
$$

This equation can be simplified and put in an integral form as

$$
\text { INDEX }=1 \mathrm{~V}+1 \mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}
$$

To use the integral equation, you must drop the last number of each SAT score, e.g., a $V$ of 427 becomes 42, Use both digits of the HSA. The Index Number, used in conjunction with Table 9 , will give a predicted average grade (PFAG) on a scale where $A=4$ and an associated probability of obtaining an A. $B$ or better, or $C$ or better average freshman grade.

An advantage of the Index Equation is ease of computation, but the PFAG based on the Index equivalent will not be quite as accurate as a PFAG based on the prediction equation, The two will probably be within .2 grade points of each other. The difference is due to the rounding of numbers which takes place in obtaining the integral equation and to treating all three groups by one procedure. The full equation should be used for making final decisions in any doubtful cases.

Table 8
Predicted Freshman Average Grade
Entering Freshmen, Georgia Southwestern
(PFAG from 1964 Combine $f$ Male, Regular Female, and Terminal Female Equation)

| Predicted Average | Males |  | Reg. Females |  | T'erm. Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \%ile* | Number | \%ile* | Number | \%ile* | Number | \%ile* |
| 3.6 | 1 | 99 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 99 |
| 3.5 |  | 99 | 1 | 98 |  |  | 1 | 99 |
| 3.4 | 2 | 98 |  | 98 |  |  | 2 | 98 |
| 3.3 | 3 | 97 |  | 98 |  |  | 3 | 98 |
| 3.2 | 4 | 95 |  | 98 |  |  | 4 | 96 |
| 3.1 | 4 | 93 | 4 | 93 | 3 | 94 | 11 | 93 |
| 3.0 | 6 | 91 | 4 | 87 | 4 | 86 | 14 | 89 |
| 2.9 | 5 | 89 | 1 | 86 | 2 | 82 | 8 | 87 |
| 2.8 | 8 | 85 | 5 | 78 | 2 | 78 | 15 | 83 |
| 2.7 | 7 | 82 | 4 | 73 | 2 | 73 | 13 | 79 |
| 2.6 | 11 | 78 | 7 | 63 | 2 | 69 | 20 | 73 |
| 2.5 | 14 | 72 | 3 | 58 | 3 | 63 | 20 | 68 |
| 2.4 | 22 | 62 | 5 | 51 | 5 | 53 | 32 | 59 |
| 2.3 | 22 | 53 | 6 | 43 | 3 | 47 | 31 | 50 |
| 2.2 | 21 | 44 | 3 | 38 | 2 | 43 | 26 | 42 |
| 2.1 | 30 | 31 | 9 | 26 | 6 | 31 | 45 | 30 |
| 2.0 | 23 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 24 | 34 | 20 |
| 1.9 | 19 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 18 | 25 | $\because 13$ |
| 1.8 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 23 | 6 |
| 1.7 | 17 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 21 |  |
| 1.6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Entering Freshman Group Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 233 |  | 70 |  | 49 |  | 352 |  |
| Mean | 2.28 |  | 2.38 |  | 2.33 |  | 2.31 |  |
| S.D. | , 42 |  | . 42 |  | . 44 |  | . 42 |  |
| End of Freshman Year Group Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 197 |  | 51 |  | 37 |  | 285 |  |
| Mean | 2.29 |  | 2.41 |  | 2.32 |  | 2.32 |  |
| S.D. | . 41 |  | . 42 |  | . 46 |  | . 42 |  |
| Correlation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| with FAG from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 Equation . 65 |  |  |  | . 70 |  |  | . 67 |  |
|  |  |  | (All Females) |  |  |  |  |  |

Percentiles for all cases below each interval, not including the interval.

Table 9

## Georgia Southwestern

Index Equation

For Males, Females, and Terminal Females:

|  |  | Grade | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Error $=30$ Index Units | A | 4.0 | 260 |
|  | B | 3.0 | 194 |
|  | C | 2.0 | 127 |
|  | D | 1.0 | 60 |
|  | F | 0.0 | 0 |

$A=4 \quad$ Probability of
INDEX
PFAG
$C(2,0)$ or Better $B(3.0)$ or Better A (4.0)


## Cutting Scores

The distributions in Tabies 10 (Males) and 11 (Females) can be used to set PFAG cutting scores for males and females to help the admissions officer in making decisions about applicants to Georgia Southwestern College. Table 12 is a worksineet outlining the steps to be followed in determining a cutting score. Application of this procedure can produce a table such as Table 13 which shows the expected yield of entering students from using various cutting PFAG's when 540 males and 360 females are expected to apply. A PFAG cutting score of 1.73 for males and 1.75 for females would produce the desired size of entering freshman class for 1966 as stated by the college ( 420 males and 280 females).

Tab1e 10
Georgia Southwestern
Predicted Freshman Average Grades for Males
Fall, 1964

| Predicted | A11 Applicants |  | A11 Accepted |  | A11 Entering |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average. Grade | Number | \%ile | Number | \%ile | Number. | \%ile |
| 3.6 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 99 |
| 3.5 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 99 |  | 99 |
| 3.4 | 2 | 98 | 2 | 98 | 2 | 98 |
| 3.3 | 4 | 97 | 4 | 97 | 3 | 97 |
| 3.2 | 4 | 96 | 4 | 95 | 4 | 95 |
| 3.1 | 4 | 95 | 4 | 94 | 4 | 93 |
| 3.0 | 7 | 93 | 7 | 91 | 6 | 91 |
| 2.9 | 7 | 91 | 7 | 88 | 5 | 89 |
| 2.8 | 9 | 88 | 9 | 85 | 8 | 85 |
| - 2.7 | 10 | 85 | 10 | 81 | 7 | 82 |
| 2.6 | 13 | 81 | 13 | 76 | 11 | 78 |
| 2.5 | 15 | 77 | 14 | 71 | 14 | 72 |
| 2.4 | 27 | 68 | 26 | 61 | 22 | 62 |
| 2.3 | 25 | 61 | 24 | 52 | 22 | 53 |
| 2.2 | 28 | 53 | 26 | 43 | 21 | 44 |
| 2.1 | 37 | 42 | 36 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| 2.0 | 32 | 32 | 25 | 20 | 23 | 21 |
| 1.9 | 30 | 23 | 20 | 12 | 19 | 13 |
| 1.8 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 8 |
| 1.7 | 31 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 17 |  |
| 1.6 | . 15 | 4 | 3 |  | 2 |  |
| 1.5 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 335 |  | 267 |  | 233 |  |
| Means | 2.18 |  | 2.2 |  | 2. |  |
| S.D. | . 45 |  | . 4 |  |  |  |

## Table 11

Georgia Southwestern
Predicted Freshman Average Grades for Females Fall, 1964

| Predicted Average Grade | All Applicants |  | All Accepted |  | All Entering |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \%ile | Number | \%ile | Number | \%ile |
| 3.5 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 99 |
| 3.4 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 98 |  | 99 |
| 3.3 |  | 98 |  | 98 |  | 99 |
| 3.2 | 2 | 97 | 2 | 97 |  | 99 |
| 3.1 | 8 | 93 | 8 | 91 | 7 | 93. |
| 3.0 | 9 | 89 | 9 | 85 | 8 | 86 |
| 2.9 | 5 | 86 | 5 | 81 | 3 | 84. |
| 2.8 | 8 | 82 | 8 | 76 | 7 | 78 |
| 2.7 | 11 | 76 | 10 | 69 | 6 | 73 |
| 2.6 | 11 | 70 | 10 | 62 | 9 | 65 |
| 2.5 | 9 | 66 | 9 | 56. | 6 | 60 |
| 2.4 | . 13 | 59. | 12 | 47 | 10 | 52 |
| 2.3 | 10 | 54 | 10 | 40 | 9 | 44 |
| 2.2 | 15 | 46 | 9 | 34 | 5 | 40 |
| 2.1 | 22. | 35 | 15 | 24 | 15 | 27 |
| 2.0 | 19 | 25 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 18 |
| 1.9 | 12 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 13 |
| 1.8 | 21 | 8. | 11 | -3 | 11 | 4 |
| 1.7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| 1.6 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 1.5 | 4 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Number | 193 |  | 144 |  | 119 |  |
| Means | 2.2 |  | 2.4 |  | 2. |  |
| S.D. | . 46 |  | . 4 |  |  |  |

Table 12
Tęntative Worksheet for Computation of Cutting Scores
Georgia Southwestern, 1966 Freshmen
Line For Males For Females

## Yield Table

Table 13
PFAG Cutting Scores Which Will Allow for Various Sized Entering Classes in the Fall of 1966 at Georgia Southwestern Based on the Expectance of 540 Male and 360 Female Applicants (Total= 900)

PFAG
NUMBER OF ENTERING FRESHMEN
CUTTING SCORE

|  | Males | Females | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 468 | 298 | 766 |
| 1.6 | 454 | 292 | 746 |
| 1.7 | 435 | 286 | 721 |
| 1.8 | 387 | 274 | 661 |
| 1.9 | 364 | 242 | 606 |
| 2.0 | 321 | 224 | 545 |
| 2.1 | 274 | 194 | 468 |
| 2.2 | 222 | 161 | 383 |

Taken from distribution of 1964 applicants with PFAG computed from 1964 combined equation for males, regular females, and terminal females.

## Summary

Over-all, it appears that even though the terminal females at Georgia Southwestern have lower mean scores on FAG, SAT, and HSA than do the regular entrants,. their scores are rising while those of the regular group are declining. Freshman average grades can be predicted with accuracy in either group as evidenced by the high correlations between PFAG and FAG. The terminal females also appear to have a sufficient amount of academic aptitude when compared with the regular entering females and could probably succeed in the college parallel curricula as well as their regular counterparts.

Analysis of covariance indicated that the 1964 EOFY males, regular females, and terminal females at Georgia Southwestern could be combined and a single prediction equation used for predicting freshman grades. This equation is given with accompanying percentile distributions. An integral equation based on this prediction equation is also presented. A procedure for setting PFAG cutoff scores for Georgia Southwestern is demonstrated, followed by the yield table resulting from the use of various PFAG cutting scores for Georgia Southwestern applicants.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Terminal males will not be considered in any of the following analyses

[^1]:    2See Gulliksen, H.O., and Wilks, S.S. Regression tests for several samples. Psychometrika, 1950, 15, 91-114.

